

INSIDE THIS EDITION

Latest Webb Farry News	1.
Construction Contracts Amendment Bill	1.
Challenging the Terms of a Will	2.
DIY and the Law	2.
Extended Warranties and the Consumer Guarantees Act	3.
Snippets	
Protect Your Position as Supplier	3.
cancelling a Conditional Contract	3.
Who's Who at WebbFarry	4.

All information in this newsletter is, to the best of the authors' knowledge, true and accurate. No liability is assumed by the authors, or publishers, for any losses suffered by any person relying directly or indirectly upon this newsletter. It is recommended that clients should consult a senior representative of the firm before acting upon this information.



For further information visit:
www.webbfarry.co.nz

WebbFarry Lawyers



Webb Farry News

Promotion

Webb Farry is pleased to announce the recent promotion of Aaron Crampton to Associate. Aaron commenced his legal career at Webb Farry in 2005 in our Commercial / Property team. In 2007 he moved to the Channel Islands and gained further experience working as a Senior Legal Counsel for a global private markets investment management firm. In this role he was responsible for conducting legal and tax due diligence on a variety of investments across the private equity spectrum. Aaron returned to Webb Farry in 2010 and specialises in corporate & commercial, property, banking, finance and taxation matters.

New Employees

We were pleased to welcome two new employees to the firm in November 2013.

Dominic Fitchett has joined us as a Senior Solicitor in our Commercial / Property department. Dominic is an Otago Graduate who started his legal career at a Central Otago law firm before moving to England in 2005 to work as a qualified solicitor for three top 50 UK legal firms. In 2010, Dominic

returned to Dunedin and took up a position leading the property team of another local firm. In addition to extending his existing property practice, Dominic will be undertaking significant commercial work under the supervision of David Ehlers.

John Summers has joined our Trusts / Estates / Property Conveyancing team as a Legal Executive and will have a particular focus on our Taieri based clients. John has previously worked in the Mosgiel business community as a Legal Executive and Mortgage / Finance Broker and joins us after a period working for a large Dunedin investment advisory and management firm. John is looking forward to returning to the legal industry and will be a regular presence at our Mosgiel office from early 2014.

Christmas Close Down Period

Webb Farry will close for the Christmas break at 5.00pm on Monday, 23 December 2013. The Dunedin office will re-open at 8.30am on Wednesday, 8 January 2014 and the Mosgiel office at 8.30am on Monday, 20 January 2014. For urgent enquiries during our close down period, please refer to our website (www.webbfarry.co.nz) for mobile contact details.

..... Construction Contracts Amendment Bill

The Construction Contracts Amendment Bill ('the Bill') proposes some significant changes to the Construction Contracts Act 2002 ('the Act'). The Act's purpose was to reform the law relating to construction contracts, particularly with regard to how and when payments are made by a party to a contract, dispute resolution, and remedies for recovery of payments under a construction contract. The Bill now seeks to deal with new issues that have arisen since the Act was passed, and three of the proposed changes are summarised in this article.

Removal of the distinction between residential and commercial construction contracts - this change would mean contractors party to a residential construction could also require progress payments, and suspend work where payments are not made.

Removal of this distinction would give any successful party in an adjudication relating to a residential construction contract the right to apply to have the adjudication determination entered as a judgment in the District Court. Under the current Act enforcement in this situation can be difficult.

When sending a payment claim, relating to a progress payment to any consumer, a contractor would have to provide a notice outlining the process for responding to a payment claim, and the effect of not doing so. At present this is only required where the consumer is a residential occupier. Law Society submissions on the Bill supported such an approach for payment claims, and noted the general lack of knowledge within the construction industry about a contractor's notice obligations.

Reduced timeframe for opposing adjudication determinations - at present, a party to a construction contract has 15 working days to make an objection to an adjudicator's determination being entered in the District Court as a judgment. In order to improve cashflow efficiency in the construction industry, the Bill proposes to reduce this time period to five working days, to provide parties with faster access to enforcement and relief.

Extension of the definition of "Construction Work" - the Bill proposes that the definition of "construction work" be extended to include design, architectural, engineering and quantity surveying work. In the past there have been issues relating to some construction work falling outside of the scope of the Act. Some submissions on the Bill have called for greater clarity around this change, with suggestions that the Bill go further and define "design, architectural, engineering and quantity surveying work". There has also been concern as to whether the new definition would actually avoid the issues it is looking to prevent.

Summary

It will be interesting to see the conclusions reached in the Select Committee report on the Bill due on 11 December 2013, and what amendments are suggested in light of submissions. In any case, it is apparent that contractors need to be aware of their obligations, and consumers and contractors alike need to be aware of their rights, as well as any restrictions on these, when it comes to entering into a construction contract.

Challenging the Terms of a Will

The death of a loved one can be traumatic. Feelings of grief can be compounded when the Will is read and someone who was close to the deceased feels that they have been 'left out'.

In some circumstances the provisions of a Will can be challenged, although there are strict timeframes that can only be extended in limited circumstances. Consequently, it is important that you speak with your lawyer as soon as possible if you have any concerns with the provisions of a Will.

While some people may feel uncomfortable with challenging a Will, it is important to remember many people do not keep their Wills up to date. It is not uncommon to find an older Will which has led to unintended consequences. For example, an out of date Will might not properly provide for someone who had recently become important in the Will-maker's life, or a gift in a Will might fail because the property was sold not long after the Will was signed.

Some of the ways a Will can be challenged are summarised below.

Capacity and Undue Influence

The Will-maker must have had sufficient mental capacity to make a Will and it must reflect his or her wishes. If the Will-maker did not understand what they were doing, did not have sufficient



mental capacity to deal with their estate or were pressured to enter into or change their Will, the court may be able to set aside the Will.

Family Protection Act

The Family Protection Act 1955 allows certain family members to make a claim against the deceased's estate if they believe that there should have been further provision made for them by virtue of their relationship with the Will-maker.

Relationship Property

The Property (Relationships) Act 1976 allows the spouse or partner of the deceased to make a choice – they can either take under the Will, or they can choose to divide the estate in accordance with the Act.

Dividing the estate means the spouse or partner will renounce all benefits they would otherwise have received under the Will, and instead, that part of the estate that was relationship property will be divided in a similar way as if the relationship had ended prior to death.

Testamentary Promises

Claims under the Law Reform (Testamentary Promises) Act 1949 are less common. If work or services were provided to the deceased, and the deceased in return promised that they would reward that work or service with a gift in their Will, and did not do so, this Act allows that promise to be enforced.

Challenging a Will is not necessarily about usurping what was intended. In some circumstances it can be about giving effect to what the Will-maker would have wanted, but did not provide.

This issue emphasises the importance of regularly reviewing your Will and discussing it with your lawyer to ensure that at all times it accurately reflects your wishes.

DIY and the Law

Many New Zealanders are capable of conducting DIY (do-it-yourself) repairs, maintenance and redecorating to their homes. However, it is important to be aware of the restrictions, standards and possible penalties imposed by law.

Under the Building Act 2004 ('the Act'), all building works (whether construction, alteration, demolition or maintenance of new and existing buildings) must comply with the Building Code. Whether you intend to do-it-yourself or engage a professional, all building work must comply with the minimum level of standard imposed by the Building Code.

Before doing any alterations or renovations, it is crucial that you check with your local council to see whether a building consent is required for what you have in mind.

Under the Act, there are certain building works that may be carried out without obtaining a building consent. Schedule One of the Act provides a detailed list of exempted works. Popular examples include: building a patio or deck at ground level or garden trellis less than two metres high, replacing spouting or a piece of weatherboard, building a small garden shed, or replacing a hot water cylinder.

It is important to note that building works exempted under the Act may not be permitted if that building



work is in breach of any other act. For example, there is a limited amount of electrical and plumbing work you may complete without a qualified electrician or plumber and gas fitter.

If the intended building work is not exempt under the Act, then it is likely that these works will be restricted building works and a building consent must be obtained and the work carried out or supervised by a licensed building practitioner. In those circumstances, it is recommended that you inform your insurance provider of the proposed work.

Popular examples of restricted building works include: structural building (additions, alterations, re-piling and demolition), plumbing and drainage (except repair and maintenance of existing

components), relocating a building, installing a wood burner or air-conditioning system, building a retaining wall higher than one and a half metres, fences or walls higher than two metres, all swimming pools and their associated fences, and decks, platforms or bridges more than one metre above ground level.

In certain circumstances, you are able to claim an exemption as an owner-builder to carry out restricted building work on your own home when you apply for a building consent. To be considered an owner-builder, you must live in or be going to live in the home, carry out restricted building work to your own home yourself, or with the help of unpaid friends and family members, and have not carried out restricted building work to any other home within the previous three years under the owner-builder exemption.

Failure to adhere to the Act could result in a fine of up to \$100,000, plus up to \$10,000 for each day the offence continues. You could also be forced to pull down or make changes to the home or building. Furthermore, the sale of the home or building at a later date could be impacted at the owner's cost due to the vendor's warranties provided under the current REINZ/ADLS Agreement for Sale and Purchase of Real Estate.

Extended Warranties and the Consumer Guarantees Act: Are you throwing your money away?

You are buying a shiny new top-of-the-range television with a two year warranty. The salesperson asks if you would like an extended five year warranty for only \$249.95 extra. Sounds sensible, right?

Warranties Implied by Law

Many consumers do not realise the Consumer Guarantees Act 1993 ('the Act') already provides most of the extra protection that they have been offered under an extended warranty. If you purchase consumer goods or services for personal, domestic or household use the Act imposes several warranties or guarantees on the vendor. In particular, the vendor guarantees that the goods sold match their description, are fit for their purpose, are of acceptable quality and will last for a reasonable time having regard to the price. Similarly, any services you purchase must be fit for their purpose, be completed in a reasonable time, be provided with reasonable care and must be a reasonable price.

Consider your new television. Would the ordinary, reasonable consumer consider that a shiny new top-of-the-range television would be free from defects or suitably durable to last five years? Ten years? If so, it's possible that the additional warranty you have been offered is not as valuable as it appears.



Breach of Warranty

As an example, let's say you bought a television and chose not to buy an extended warranty. Three years later it stops working, and the vendor wants to charge you to replace the failed LCD controller because the television is out of warranty. What now?

You may need to demonstrate that it is reasonable for you to expect your television to last more than three years (and that the failure was not caused by you). It should then follow that the television could not reasonably be considered durable enough - a breach of a warranty implied by the Act. You should then be entitled to require the vendor to remedy the failure.

If it can be fixed the vendor must repair or replace the product within a reasonable time, or provide a full refund. If a remedy is not timely, if the failure is of a substantial nature or if the product is not fit for its stated purpose (or not fit for the purpose you specifically discussed with the salesperson) then you may be entitled to reject the product and require a full refund, replacement, or obtain damages in compensation from the vendor.

Disputes Tribunal

If a vendor does not agree with you, you may need to present your case at the Disputes Tribunal to enforce your rights under the Act.

While each case is decided on its particular facts, two examples are noted in particular: in 2009 a fridge-freezer was ruled not to be of acceptable quality when its compressor pump failed after seven years - a full refund was given. In contrast, in 2010 a four year old motor scooter with a failed base gasket was ruled to be of acceptable quality because the damage probably occurred because the annual services were not completed in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications - screws on the base gasket should have been checked and tightened.

Snippets

Protect Your Position as Supplier Is Your product at Risk?

Some businesses supply their product to shops on credit and are paid later; sometimes only once the product sells.

Problems arise if the shop is then unable to pay its debts, or worse, goes under having sold the products without having paid the supplier. Without proper protection the supplier may be just another unsecured creditor - unable to get the product back and unable to be paid.

Properly drafted Terms of Trade help protect your position as supplier. They can ensure you retain a security interest in, and ownership of your product until it has been paid for.

A vitally important, and often overlooked step, is to then register your security interest with the Personal Property Securities Register (PPSR), prior to dealing with each shop. This elevates your security interest meaning you are more likely to recover your stock or funds owed to you if a shop goes under.



Cancelling a Conditional Contract

Most house buyers enter into conditional agreements. For example, the current REINZ/ADLS Agreement includes (if selected) a Builder's Report condition, which allows the purchaser to cancel, if on an objective assessment, they do not approve a Builder's Report.

However, a condition does not of itself grant a purchaser a right to cancel. The Agreement specifically requires each party to do all things reasonably necessary to satisfy a condition that is for their benefit.

Using the example of the Builder's Report condition, the purchaser must disclose the specific reasons for cancellation and there can at times be disagreement as to just what would be an objective assessment of the Builder's Report for the purposes of cancellation.

It is important to note that you are not entitled to cancel a conditional contract simply because you change your mind.



With over 15 legal professionals we can help with all your legal requirements.



James Lovelock
(Managing Partner)

Megan Bartlett
(Partner)

David Ehlers
(Partner)

John Farrow
(Partner)

Solutions With Flair



Chris Thomsen
(Associate)

Larna Jensen-McCloy
(Associate)

Melanie Hayes
(Associate)

Brenda Thom
(Associate)

Warren Moffat
(Associate)

Aaron Crampton
(Associate)

Dominic Fitchett
(Senior Solicitor)



Shayne Chandra
(Solicitor)

Emily Lay
(Solicitor)

Sarah Bradly-McKay
(Solicitor)

Oliver Turton
(Solicitor)

Suzanne Corson
(Team Co-ordinator
& Legal Executive)

Jenette Ramsay
(Legal Executive)

Maree Adams
(Registered
Legal Executive)

John Summers
(Legal Executive)

Who's Who at Webb Farry

Partners: David J. Ehlers, B.Com, LL.B
James H. Lovelock, LL.B, B.Mgmt
John A. Farrow, B.A, LL.B
Megan L. Bartlett, B.A, LL.B

Associates: Melanie H. Hayes, B.A, LL.B
Larna Jensen-McCloy, LL.B
Brenda Thom, B.Com, LL.B
Chris Thomsen, B.A, LL.B
Warren J. Moffat, B.A, LL.B
Aaron D. Crampton, B.A, LL.B

Senior Solicitor: Dominic I.W. Fitchett, B.A, LL.B

Solicitors: Emily N.C. Lay, B.A, LL.B (Hons)
Sarah Bradly-McKay, B.A, LL.B
Oliver C. Turton, B.A (Hons) LL.B (Hons)
Shayne P. Chandra LL.B

Legal Executives: Maree D. Adams, B.A, NZILE
Jenette A. Ramsay
John Summers

Team Co-ordinator & Legal Executive: Suzanne A. Corson

HR & Operations Manager: Tracy J. Stevenson

Administration Manager: Ché N. Direen

Systems & Accounts Manager: Tania J. Graham

Offices at: 79 Stuart Street,
Dunedin 9016, New Zealand.
Telephone: (03) 477-1078
Fax (03) 477-5754

107 Gordon Road, Mosgiel, 9024
New Zealand.
Telephone: (03) 489-5157
Fax (03) 489-2021

All Correspondence to:
P.O. Box 5541,
Dunedin 9058, New Zealand

Email: lawyers@webbfarry.co.nz

Website: www.webbfarry.co.nz

WebbFarry Lawyers

Webb Farry Newsletter Summer 2013